Measuring Exposure of Urban Cyclists to PM2.5 Using an Instrumented Bike

Kaitlyn Schaffer, April Gadsby Dr. Kari Watkins, and Dr. Christopher LeDantec









Seeing Like a Bike (SLaB)

Environmental

Temperature/

Humidity

AV

Barometer

Traffic

GPS 3D

Road

Accelerometer 3D Gyroscope 3D

Magnetometer Microphone array

Ozone Nitrogen Dioxide Sulfur Dioxide Carbon Monoxide Particulate Matter 2x Lidar Rangefinders 3x Ultrasonic Rangefinders



Motivation for Air Quality Project

- Pollutant exposure can be vary significantly depending on mode and route
- Cyclists are vulnerable to particulate matter
- Prolonged or repetitive PM exposure has many adverse health impacts
 - Reduced lung function, asthma, heart attack, and stroke
- Limited research to understand which types of cycling infrastructure may be better or worse for cyclists' health based on PM exposure



Objective for Air Quality Project

To assess the feasibility of using an instrumented bicycle equipped with low-cost air quality sensors to monitor the PM_{2.5} exposure of cyclists in Atlanta, Georgia





























GRIMM High Quality, Research Grade Air Quality Sensor







SLaB Box Custom collection of environmental sensors





GRIMM High Quality, Research Grade Air Quality Sensor

Purple Air Creating an Air Quality Network using the IoT







SLaB Box Custom collection of environmental sensors





GRIMM High Quality, Research Grade Air Quality Sensor

Purple Air Creating an Air Quality Network using the IoT









Sensor Selection

- Selected for low-cost and small size
- Facilitated data collection with bicycle
- Had the highest correlation with the expensive commercial-grade sensors used by the EPA







Sensor Calibration

- Stationary comparison of GRIMM 1.109 aerosol spectrometer and Plantower PMS5003 sensors
- Mobile comparison of GRIMM 1.109 aerosol spectrometer and Plantower PMS5003 sensors



Sensor Testing Instrumented Bicycle



PMS 5003 (x2)





GPS + GoPro



Stationary Comparison



Stationary comparison test configuration



PM2.5 concentrations recorded by GRIMM 1.109 and PMS5003 sensors



Mobile Comparison



Difference between GRIMM 1.109 and PMS5003 sensor readings during mobile monitoring



Sensor Calibration Findings

- Stationary comparison
 - Produced similar trends
 - Differed in magnitude of readings and quickness of response
- Mobile comparison
 - Agreed for most distances with some short distances of large variations
 - Low-cost sensors were concluded to be representative of observed patterns



Data Collection Instrumented Bicycle



Instrumented bicycle with identified front and rear components



Plantower PMS5003 Digital universal particle concentration sensor



Data Collection

- Monitor PM2.5 along routes composed of different types of cycling infrastructure with an instrumented bicycle
- Map PM2.5 exposure of the different routes to compare types of cycling infrastructure









23 Georgia





Georgia Tech



26 Georgia



Georgia Tech



28 Georgia



Georgia Tech



30 Georgia



Georgia Tech



32 Georgia



Georgia Tech





Georgia Tech

Methodology

- Participants elected to ride instrumented bicycle on one of four routes
- Each route was completed by at least 5 participants
- 27 runs → 24,000 data points
- Recorded start time, background PM2.5, temperature, wind speed, wind direction, relative humidity



Methodology

- Corrected for background PM2.5 concentrations
- Segmented routes
- Assigned cycling infrastructure type, GDOT roadway functional classification, and land use type to each segment







Ro	ute 4			- (F) - 2	
	areas and a second second	ni trate		1932	Factor and SA
Г			PL THE PL	-	Annual Annua Annual Annual
Indexes					- And
erriter (5	насти			WORKS 199
		Johnson an Share of H		a superior	
noven		17/1/2			

Route	Average PM _{2.5} (µg/m³)	Minimum PM₂.₅ (µg/m³)	Maximum PM _{2.5} (µg/m ³)	Standard Deviation
With Backg	round Correctio	on	111	
1	1.82	-5.48	18.96	4.18
2	2.42	-5.22	25.75	3.83
3	2.17	-6.56	13.72	5.17
4	-1.26	-5.79	11.78	3.52
Without Bac	kground Corre	ction		
1	7.60	-1.13	25.57	4.02
2	9.45	1.00	32.95	4.55
3	8.53	1.24	22.86	5.67
4	3.80	-1.19	16.88	3.24



38

PM_{2.5} with Background Correction

~ × 0 * × 8 * 2 * *

Linear Regression for PM_{2.5}

Variable	Units	Coefficient		Р
Intercept	N/A	-0.17		0.898
Weekday or Weekend	Dummy	3.33	***	<0.001
Time of Day	Dummy	-0.23		0.215
Temperature	°F	0.04	*	0.012
Wind Speed	mph	-0.12	***	<0.001
Relative Humidity	%	0.12	***	<0.001
Cycling Infrastructure	Dummy	-0.58	•	0.056
Minor or Major Road	Dummy	-1.36	***	<0.001
Commercial Land Use	Dummy	2.72	***	<0.001

N=900, R₂ = 0.259



Segmented PM_{2.5} Concentrations



Conclusions

- Lower PM_{2.5} exposure observed on designated cycling infrastructure and roadways with lower traffic volumes
- Land use, specifically commercial areas, one of the most significant indicators of cyclists' PM_{2.5} exposure
 - Demand for bicycle infrastructure in cities is greatest in commercial areas, where desirable restaurants and businesses are present
- Cyclists' PM_{2.5} exposure more impacted by meteorological variables that lead the background concentration to be higher along the entire route than roadway/traffic characteristics along the route
- Findings in alignment with previous instrumented bicycle studies and pollutant exposure studies of other modes of transportation



Continuing SLaB Research - Stress and Speed (using GPS)

Causes of Stress

- Speed Differential
 - Delft = 18%
 - Atlanta = 34%

Stress Reducers

- Speed Differential
 - Delft = 29%
 - Atlanta = 41%



Geo

Continuing SLaB Research - Close-pass Events (using LIDAR)

				"Most drivers don't seem to notice	
Delft	Bus	Distance	Rider Type	cyclists"	Stress Rating
1	No	850 mm	Strong & Fearless	Disagree	Low
2	No	720 mm	Enthused & Confident	Disagree	Low
3	Yes	580 mm	Comfortable, but Cautious	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Low
4	No	670 mm	Comfortable, but Cautious	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Low
5	Yes	620 mm	Enthused & Confident	Disagree	Low
6	Yes	610 mm	Comfortable, but Cautious	Strongly Disagree	Low
7	Yes	600 mm	Enthused & Confident	Strongly Disagree	Moderately high
Atlanta					
1	No	710 mm	Comfortable, but Cautious	Strongly agree	Moderately high
2	No	900 mm	Enthused & Confident	Agree	High
3	No	710 mm	Strong & Fearless	Agree	Moderately low
4	No	700 mm	Enthused & Confident	Strongly agree	Moderately low

Specific Infrastructure Stressors = Narrow (Delft), No Bicycle Facility (Atlanta)

Continuing SLaB Research - Eye Tracking







Georgia Tech

44

Thank You!

Dr. Kari Watkins Frederick Law Olmsted Associate Professor Civil & Environmental Engineering <u>kari.watkins@ce.gatech.edu</u>

Kaitlyn Schaffer

Research done for Georgia Tech MS thesis in CEE Now working at Kittelson & Associates <u>kschaffer@kittelson.com</u>



